Research Article

PIK3CA Mutations in Colorectal Cancer Are Associated with Clinical Resistance to EGFR-Targeted Monoclonal Antibodies

Andrea Sartore-Bianchi,¹ Miriam Martini,⁵ Francesca Molinari,⁶ Silvio Veronese,² Michele Nichelatti,³ Salvatore Artale,¹ Federica Di Nicolantonio,⁵ Piercarlo Saletti,⁷ Sara De Dosso,⁷ Luca Mazzucchelli,⁶ Milo Frattini,⁶ Salvatore Siena,¹ and Alberto Bardelli^{4,5}

'The Falck Division of Medical Oncology, ²Division of Pathology, and ³Service of Biostatistics, Ospedale Niguarda Ca' Granda,

and 'FIRC Institute of Molecular Oncology, Milan, Italy; 'Laboratory of Molecular Genetics, The Oncogenomics Center,

Institute for Cancer Research and Treatment, University of Torino Medical School, Candiolo, Italy, "Laboratory of

Molecular Diagnostic, Istituto Cantonale di Patologia, Locarno, Switzerland; and ⁷Oncology Institute of Southern Switzerland, Ospedale San Giovanni, Bellinzona, Switzerland

Abstract

The monoclonal antibodies (moAb) panitumumab and cetuximab target the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and have proven valuable for the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC). EGFR-mediated signaling involves two main intracellular cascades: on one side KRAS activates BRAF, which in turn triggers the mitogen-activated protein kinases. On the other, membrane localization of the lipid kinase PIK3CA counteracts PTEN and promotes AKT1 phosphorylation, thereby activating a parallel intracellular axis. Constitutive activation of KRAS bypasses the corresponding signaling cascade and, accordingly, patients with mCRC bearing KRAS mutations are clinically resistant to therapy with panitumumab or cetuximab. We hypothesized that mutations activating PIK3CA could also preclude responsiveness to EGFR-targeted moAbs through a similar mechanism. Here, we present the mutational analysis of PIK3CA and KRAS and evaluation of the PTEN protein status in a cohort of 110 patients with mCRC treated with anti-EGFR moAbs. We observed 15 (13.6%) PIK3CA and 32 (29.0%) KRAS mutations. PIK3CA mutations were significantly associated with clinical resistance to panitumumab or cetuximab; none of the mutated patients achieved objective response (P = 0.038). When only KRAS wild-type tumors were analyzed, the statistical correlation was stronger (P = 0.016). Patients with PIK3CA mutations displayed a worse clinical outcome also in terms of progression-free survival (P = 0.035). Our data indicate that PIK3CA mutations can independently hamper the therapeutic response to panitumumab or cetuximab in mCRC. When the molecular status of the PIK3CA/PTEN and KRAS pathways are concomitantly ascertained, up to 70% of mCRC patients unlikely to respond to EGFR moAbs can be identified. [Cancer Res 2009;69(5):1851-7]

©2009 American Association for Cancer Research.

doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-2466

Introduction

Despite the introduction of new treatments, the 5-year survival rate for metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) remains below 10% (1). Additional active agents, as well as further insights about the mechanisms of resistance to current therapeutics, are needed to improve clinical outcome. Treatment options for mCRC nowadays include the chimeric IgG1 monoclonal antibody (moAb) cetuximab and the fully humanized IgG2 moAb panitumumab (2, 3). Both molecules bind to the extracellular domain of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), leading to inhibition of its downstream signaling, and providing a meaningful clinical benefit. However, this is limited to <20% of patients (3-5). Others and we have previously shown that KRAS mutations (that affect signaling downstream of the EGFR) can independently impair the efficacy of anticancer therapy with panitumumab or cetuximab (6-8). The majority of patients with mCRC resistant to anti-EGFR moAbs have tumors with activating mutations of KRAS. However, only a fraction of those with wild-type KRAS tumors, although larger than in the unselected population (8-10), respond to treatment, thus suggesting a role for additional mechanisms of resistance.

The PIK3CA gene is mutated in ~20% of CRCs (11). PIK3CA mutations occurring in the "hotspots" located in exon 9 (E542K, E545K) and exon 20 (H1047R) are oncogenic in CRC cellular models (12). The PIK3CA gene encodes for a lipid kinase that regulates, alongside with KRAS, signaling pathways downstream of the EGFR. Moreover, the p110 α subunit of PI3K, encoded by *PIK3CA*, can be activated by interaction with RAS proteins (13). PI3K-initiated signaling is normally inhibited by phosphatase and tensin homologue deleted on chromosome ten (PTEN). In breast cancer patients, PTEN protein loss, evaluated by immunohistochemistry (14) or by the signature gene stathmin (15), predicts poor prognosis (15) and resistance to the anti-HER2 moAb trastuzumab (14). In CRC, we have previously reported that loss of PTEN expression, which occurs in 30% of sporadic cases, may be associated with lack of response to cetuximab (16). Whether and to what extent the occurrence of PIK3CA mutations affects responsiveness of mCRC patients to anti EGFR moAbs is presently unknown.

Here, we present the mutational analysis of *PIK3CA* and *KRAS* alongside with the evaluation of PTEN expression in a cohort of 110 mCRC-treated patients, to clarify how these genes affect clinical response to anti-EGFR-targeted therapies.

Materials and Methods

Patient population and treatment regimens. We analyzed 110 patients with mCRC either at Ospedale Niguarda Ca' Granda (Milan, Italy)

Note: Supplementary data for this article are available at Cancer Research Online (http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/).

A. Sartore-Bianchi, M. Martini, and F. Molinari contributed equally to this work. M. Frattini, S. Siena, and A. Bardelli are co-senior authors.

Requests for reprints: Salvatore Siena, Divisione Oncologia Falck, Ospedale Niguarda Ca' Granda, Piazza Ospedale Maggiore 3, 20162 Milan, Italy. E-mail: salvatore.siena@ospedaleniguarda.it and Alberto Bardelli, Laboratory of Molecular Genetics, The Oncogenomics Center, Institute for Cancer Research and Treatment, University of Torino, Medical School, Strada Provinciale 142 Km 3.95, 10060 Candiolo, Torino, Italy. Phone: 00390119933235; Fax: 00390119933225; E-mail: abardelli@unito.it.

Table 1. Patient characteristics	
No. of patients	110
Median age (y; range)	64 (26-85)
Gender (male/female)	71/39
Primary tumor site (n)	
Colon	69
Sigma-rectum junction	11
Rectum	28
Other*	2
Previous chemotherapy (%)	
Irinotecan based	95 (86.4)
Fluoropyrimidine/capecitabine based	93 (84.5)
Oxaliplatin based	84 (76.4)
No. of previous cancer treatments for advanced	
disease prior anti-EGFR moAbs (%)	
None	13 (11.8)
One	15 (13.6)
Two	48 (43.6)
Three	28 (25.4)
More than three	6 (5.5)
Cutaneous toxicity (%)	
0-1	74 (67.3)
2-3	32 (29.1)
Unknown	4 (3.6)

*Other: In one case, primary site was small bowel, and in one case, primary tumor sites were multiple (colon and rectum).

or at the Institute of Pathology (Locarno, Switzerland). Patients gave informed consent and were treated with panitumumab- or cetuximabbased regimens at Ospedale Niguarda Ca' Granda (Milan, Italy) or at the Oncology Institute of Southern Switzerland (Bellinzona, Switzerland). All patients had EGFR expression in their tumor specimens in $\geq 1\%$ malignant cells assessed by immunohistochemistry with the Dako EGFR PharmDx kit (DakoCytomation). Patients evaluated in this study were selected based on evidence that treatment outcome could be attributable only to administration of either panitumumab or cetuximab. Patients' clinical characteristics and number of previous lines of therapy administered are reported in Table 1. With the exception of 13 patients who received cetuximab as frontline therapy, the others had failed at least one prior chemotherapy regimen. Overall, 22 (20%) received panitumumab monotherapy, 14 (13%) patients received cetuximab monotherapy, and 74 (67%) received cetuximab plus irinotecan-based chemotherapy. For those patients who progressed on irinotecan-based chemotherapy, cetuximab was administered in combination with irinotecan given at the same dose and schedule previously used. Treatment was continued until progressive disease (PD) or toxicity occurred, according to the standard criteria (17).

Clinical evaluation and tumor response criteria. Clinical response was assessed every 6 to 8 wk with radiological examination (computerized tomodensitometry or magnetic resonance imaging). The Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST; ref. 17) were adopted for evaluation and objective tumor response was classified into partial response (PR), stable disease (SD), and PD. Patients with SD or PD were defined as nonresponders. Response to therapy was also evaluated retrospectively by independent radiologists.

Molecular analyses. Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor blocks were reviewed for quality and tumor content. A single representative block, from either the primary tumor or the liver metastasis, depending on availability, containing at least 70% of neoplastic cells, was selected for each case. Genomic DNA was extracted using the QIAamp Mini kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

PTEN expression. PTEN protein expression was evaluated by immunohistochemistry on 3-µm formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue sections as previously reported (16, 18) with some modifications. Briefly, anti-PTEN Ab4 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with 1:200 dilution and PTEN Ab2 (Neomarkers) with 1:50 dilution were used at the Niguarda Hospital and at the Institute of Pathology of Locarno, respectively. PTEN protein expression was mainly detected at cytoplasmic level and very few cases also showed nuclear positivity. Tumors were considered negative, i.e., with loss of PTEN, when absence or reduction of immunostaining was seen in >50% of cells compared with internal controls (i.e., vascular endothelial cells and nerves; Supplementary Fig. S1 shows PTEN-positive and PTEN-negative representative cases). Healthy tissue, i.e., normal colon mucosa, was used

Table 2. Univariate analysis of the association between clinical and pathologic characteristics, mutations of *PIK3CA*, and loss of PTEN in 110 mCRC patients treated with anti-EGFR monoclonal antibodies panitumumab or cetuximab

	PIK3CA		KRAS			PIK3CA and/or KRAS			PTEN			
	WT (%)	Mut (%)	Р	WT (%)	Mut (%)	Р	WT (%)	Mut (%)	Р	Normal (%)	Loss (%)	Р
Sex												
Men	58 (81.7)	13 (18.3)	0.080	55 (77.5)	16 (22.5)	0.046	43 (60.6)	28 (39.4)	0.684	31 (63.3)	18 (36.7)	0.643
Women	37 (94.8)	2 (5.13)		22 (57.9)	16 (42.1)		21 (55.3)	17 (44.7)		18 (56.2)	14 (43.8)	
Age (y)												
≤65	54 (87.1)	8 (12.9)	1.000	45 (72.6)	17 (27.4)	0.621	38 (61.3)	24 (38.7)	0.664	25 (55.6)	20 (44.4)	0.548
66-74	24 (85.7)	4 (14.3)		17 (63.0)	10 (37.0)		14 (51.8)	13 (48.2)		13 (61.9)	8 (38.1)	
≥ 75	17 (89.5)	2 (10.5)		14 (73.7)	5 (26.3)		12 (63.2)	7 (36.8)		10 (71.4)	4 (28.6)	
Site of T*												
Colon	48 (69.6)	21 (30.4)	0.319	62 (89.9)	7 (10.1)	0.157	41 (59.4)	28 (40.6)	0.153	30 (58.8)	21 (41.2)	0.715
Sigma-rectum	10 (90.9)	1 (9.1)		10 (90.9)	1 (9.1)		9 (81.8)	2 (18.2)		5 (71.4)	2 (28.6)	
Rectum	18 (66.7)	9 (33.3)		21 (75.0)	7 (25.0)		13 (48.1)	14 (51.9)		14 (66.7)	7 (33.3)	
Cutaneous rash												
0-1	49 (67.1)	24 (32.9)	0.494	62 (83.8)	12 (16.2)	0.545	38 (52.0)	35 (48.0)	0.136	32 (55.2)	26 (44.8)	0.064
2-3	24 (75.0)	8 (25.0)		29 (90.6)	3 (9.4)		22 (68.7)	10 (31.3)		16 (80.0)	4 (20.0)	

Abbreviations: WT, wild-type; Mut, mutated.

*In one case, primary site was small bowel, and in one case, primary tumor sites were multiple (colon and rectum; P values measured by Fisher's exact test).

Table 3.

A. Univariate analysis of the association of *PIK3CA* mutations, *KRAS* mutations, and PTEN protein expression with clinical outcome of mCRC patients treated with the anti-EGFR monoclonal antibodies cetuximab or panitumumab. Responders are patients who achieved PR; nonresponders are PD and SD (RECIST)

	PIK3CA		KRAS		PIK3CA and/or KRAS			PTEN				
	WT (%)	Mut (%)	Р	WT (%)	Mut (%)	Р	WT (%)	Mut (%)	Р	Normal (%)	Loss (%)	Р
Objective response												
Responders	22 (100)	0 (0)	0.038	20 (90.9)	2 (9.1)	0.019	20 (90.9)	2 (9.1)	0.001	17 (94.4)	1 (5.6)	0.001
Nonresponders	73 (82.9)	15 (17.1)		57 (65.5)	30 (34.5)		44 (50.6)	43 (49.4)		32 (50.8)	31 (42.2)	

B. Multivariate logistic regression of the association between *PIK3CA* mutations, *KRAS* mutations, and PTEN protein expression and objective response (any single *P* value is adjusted with respect to other regressors)

	OR (95% CI for OR)	Р
PIK3CA (mutated vs WT)	0.1153 (0.000-0.865)	0.0337
KRAS (mutated vs WT)	0.0660 (0.000-0.452)	0.0029
PTEN (loss vs normal)	0.0547 (0.001-0.430)	0.0012
C. Multivariate Cox survival analysis of the association between KRAS mutation	s, <i>PIK3CA</i> mutations, and/or PTE	N loss and risk of progression

	HR (Cl95% for HR)	Р
<i>KRAS</i> (mutated vs WT) <i>PIK3CA</i> /PTEN (at least one alterated vs normal)	1.4974 (0.8909-2.5170) 1.8576 (1.1637-2.9653)	0.128 0.009

NOTE: P values measured by Fisher's exact test.

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

as internal positive control; normal endometrium was used as external positive control. The evaluations were performed without knowledge of clinical data or results of molecular analyses.

Mutational analysis of PIK3CA and KRAS in tumor samples. We searched for *PIK3CA* mutations in exons 9 and 20, and for *KRAS* mutations in exon 2. *PIK3CA* exon 9 includes codons 542 and 545, *PIK3CA* exon 20 codon 1047, and *KRAS* exon 2 codons 12 and 13, where the large majority of mutations occur in these genes (19). The list of primers used for mutational analysis is available from the authors upon request. All samples were subjected to automated sequencing by ABI PRISM 3730 (Applied Biosystems). All mutated cases were confirmed twice, starting from independent PCR reactions. In one instance (patient 55), the results from the first analysis showed a mutation in *PIK3CA* (E545A) that was not confirmed when the PCR/sequence was independently repeated. It is possible that the corresponding tumor was heterogeneous and only a fraction of the cancer cells contained the mutation.

Statistical analyses. All collected data were descriptively analyzed with the statistical methods, after checking their distributions by means of the Shapiro-Wilk test. Cross-tabulations with qualitative variables were analyzed with the Fisher's exact, whereas comparisons between continuous variables were carried out with Student's *t* or Mann-Whitney *U* tests. The general linear model with logit link function and standard or exact algorithm was used to assess univariate and multivariate models having binary end point; the choice among best fitting models was done using the Bayesian Information Criterion according to Schwartz. The survival analysis was done with the Kaplan-Meier survivor function followed by logrank test; the Cox semiparametric method was used for multivariate regression survival analysis; proportional hazard assumption was checked using the Schoenfeld residuals. Statistical significance was assumed for a *P* value of <0.05. All statistical evaluations were done with Stata/SE 10.0 (the StataCorpl).

Results

Frequency of mutations in PIK3CA and KRAS, and loss of PTEN protein expression. Mutational profiling of 110 colorectal tumors from patients treated with anti-EGFR moAbs led to the identification of 15 (13.6%) *PIK3CA* and 32 (29.0%) *KRAS* mutations. As expected, *PIK3CA* mutations were found both in exon 9 (4 cases) and in exon 20 (11 cases). Similarly, *KRAS* was mutated at codon 12 in 23 cases (71.9%), and at codon 13 in 8 cases (25.0%); a double point mutation involving both codons was detected in 1 case (3.1%). Concomitant *PIK3CA* and *KRAS* mutations were observed in two samples. PTEN protein assessment was performed by immunohistochemistry analysis. Among the 81 evaluated tumor specimens, 32 (39.5%) showed loss of PTEN protein. Results of mutational analyses and immunohistochemistry are shown in Supplementary Table S1.

Clinical and pathologic characteristics according to mutations in PIK3CA or KRAS and loss of PTEN protein expression. Analyses of the association between mutational status of *PIK3CA* and *KRAS* and PTEN expression with clinical-pathologic characteristics are shown in Table 2. No association was found between these variables and age, location of the primary tumor (i.e., colon, sigma-rectum junction, or rectum), or degree of cutaneous toxicity.

Mutations in PIK3CA, KRAS, and PTEN loss are associated with lack of objective response to panitumumab or cetuximab. The relationship between *PIK3CA* mutations, *KRAS* mutations, and PTEN expression with clinical outcome was evaluated in terms of objective tumor response, progression-free survival (PFS), and overall survival (OS).

In univariate analysis, *PIK3CA* mutations were significantly associated with lack of response to panitumumab or cetuximab, with none of the mutated patients achieving objective tumor response (P = 0.038). The same negative association was observed for *KRAS* mutations (9.1% of mutations among responders versus 34.5% among non responders; P = 0.019) and was confirmed when at least a mutation of either *KRAS* or *PIK3CA* was considered (P = 0.001; Table 3*A*). When only *KRAS* wild-type tumors

were analyzed, the statistical association between *PIK3CA* mutations with lack of response to panitumumab or cetuximab was confirmed (P = 0.016). In bivariate analysis, *PIK3CA* mutations and *KRAS* mutations were simultaneously significant (P = 0.0234 and 0.0125, respectively); in multivariate logistic regression, an independent effect of *PIK3CA* mutations, *KRAS* mutations, and PTEN protein expression was also confirmed (P = 0.0337, 0.0029, and 0.0012, respectively; Table 3*B*). Our data indicate that similarly to



Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier cumulative PFS on the basis of *PIK3CA* and *KRAS* mutational status and PTEN protein expression in mCRC patients treated with panitumumab and cetuximab. *A, PIK3CA* wild-type (*wt*) versus mutated; *B, KRAS* wild-type versus mutated; *C,* either *PIK3CA* or *KRAS* mutated versus both wild-type; *D,* PTEN loss of expression versus normal; *E,* either *PIK3CA* mutated or loss of PTEN versus both normal; *F, PIK3CA* wild-type versus mutated in *KRAS* wild-type only patients.



Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier cumulative OS according to *PIK3CA* and *KRAS* mutational status and PTEN protein expression. *A, PIK3CA* wild-type versus mutated; *B, KRAS* wild-type versus mutated; *C,* either *PIK3CA* or *KRAS* mutated versus both wild-type; *D,* PTEN loss of expression versus normal; *E,* either *PIK3CA* mutated or loss of PTEN versus both normal; *F, PIK3CA* wild-type versus mutated in *KRAS* wild-type only patients.

KRAS, PIK3CA wild-type status represents a necessary but not sufficient condition to reach objective response. Assessment of *PIK3CA* mutations therefore represents an independent factor to predict clinical outcome among *KRAS* wild-type patients.

PIK3CA mutations and PTEN loss are negatively associated with survival in mCRCs patients treated with panitumumab or cetuximab. Analysis of survival showed that patients with tumors harboring *PIK3CA* mutations had a worse clinical outcome in terms of PFS, compared with wild-type tumors (P = 0.0035; Fig. 1*A*). Patients with *KRAS* mutations had a trend toward a decreased PFS (P = 0.0815; Fig. 1*B*). Shorter PFS was also detected in patients harboring at least a mutation of either *KRAS* or *PIK3CA* (P = 0.0032; Fig. 1*C*). PTEN loss was similarly associated with shorter PFS (P = 0.0681) that reached statistical significance if



Figure 3. Tabulation of objective response of mCRC patients treated with EGFR-targeted monoclonal antibodies according to *KRAS* (*top*) and *PIK3CA*/ PTEN (*bottom*) analysis (*P* values measured by Fisher's exact test).

this variable was combined with *PIK3CA* mutations (loss of PTEN and/or *PIK3CA* mutation; P = 0.0066; Fig. 1*D–E*). Accordingly, Cox multivariate survival analysis confirmed that patients with at least one alteration of either *PIK3CA* or PTEN had a higher risk of progression (P = 0.009), whereas the model was not significant for *KRAS* mutations (P = 0.128; Table 3*C*). Among *KRAS* wild-type only patients, a decreased PFS was confirmed for patients with *PIK3CA* mutations in their tumors (P = 0.0021; Fig. 1*F*).

Neither *PIK3CA* mutations nor *KRAS* mutations were associated with OS (P = 0.2516 and 0.1127, respectively; Fig. 2*A*–*B*), although a trend toward decreased OS was evident in patients harboring at least a mutation of either *KRAS* or *PIK3CA* (P = 0.0645; Fig. 2*C*). PTEN loss of expression was significantly associated with worse OS (P = 0.0048), as was the combination of PTEN loss with *PIK3CA* mutations (P = 0.0161; Fig. 2*D*–*E*). In *KRAS* wild-type tumors, *PIK3CA* mutations did not influence OS (P = 0.2921; Fig. 2*F*).

Discussion

Our work, as well as that of other laboratories, has shown that almost all mCRC patients with tumors harboring *KRAS* mutations are resistant to treatment with the EGFR-targeted moAbs panitumumab or cetuximab (6-8). This notion has been acknowledged by European Medicines Agency (EMEA) that approved the use of panitumumab or cetuximab only in mCRC patients whose tumors display wild-type KRAS.^{8,9} KRAS mutations, however, only account for 30% to 40% of nonresponsive patients. The identification of additional genetic determinants of resistance to EGFR-targeted therapies in CRC is therefore clearly a priority. We noted that the mitogen-activated protein kinase kinases cascade triggered by the KRAS/BRAF pathway represents only one side of the axis on which the EGFR relies for propagation of its mitogenic stimulus. On the other side, membrane localization of the lipid kinase PIK3CA promotes AKT1 activation, ensuing to a parallel intracellular propagation of the signal. We hypothesized that, similarly to what observed for the oncogenic activation of the KRAS/MAPK pathway, the constitutive deregulation of the PIK3CA gene could bypass the EGFR-initiated signaling cascade. To test this possibility, we assessed whether tumors bearing PIK3CA mutations were resistant to EGFR-targeted therapy with moAbs. Our results indicate that PIK3CA mutations could be considered alongside with those affecting KRAS as predictors of primary resistance to EGFR moAbs therapies. PIK3CA mutations explain lack of objective response in additional 17% of KRAS wild-type patients. Furthermore, the multivariate analysis of KRAS and PIK3CA mutations showed that both alterations play an independent and significant role in predicting resistance (Table 3A). Patients with PIK3CA-mutated mCRC had worse clinical outcome in terms of PFS, and this was confirmed also for KRAS wild-type tumors (Fig. 1A and F). In addition, we show, for the first time, that loss of PTEN is associated not only with lack of objective tumor response as previously reported (16) but also with worse OS in patients with mCRC treated with panitumumab or cetuximab. Overall, our data indicate that a comprehensive analysis of both the KRAS/BRAF and PI3K pathways including KRAS and PIK3CA mutation and PTEN protein status is significantly associated with both PFS and OS, thus representing the best predictor of clinical outcome in this setting. Among the subgroup of 59 evaluable KRAS wild-type patients, this combined analysis could indeed identify an additional 44% of nonresponsive cases (Fig. 3). Thus, the combination of KRAS, PIK3CA, and PTEN analyses could lead to the identification of 70% of mCRC patients resistant to panitumumab or cetuximab.

With regard to the role of *PIK3CA* mutations in affecting tumor progression, a number of functional evidences suggest that *PIK3CA* mutations might have a relatively mild effect on the growth of the tumor (12). One possibility is that tumors carrying *PIK3CA* mutations may be less aggressive than those that do not and, hence, have better PFS. However, in the present study in patients with metastatic disease and particularly dismal prognosis, those carrying *PIK3CA* mutations have worse clinical outcome, therefore not supporting this hypothesis. *In vitro* studies have recently shown that *PIK3CA* mutation/PTEN expression status predicts response of colon cancer cell lines to cetuximab (20), thus supporting our observations on clinical samples.

The decision of health authorities (EMEA) to restrict the clinical use of panitumumab or cetuximab for patients with wild-type $KRAS \text{ mCRC}^{8,9}$ is expected to ameliorate the therapeutic index of these targeted agents. Nevertheless, in the KRAS wild-type

⁸ http://www.emea.europa.eu/pdfs/human/opinion/40511307en.pdf

⁹ http://www.emea.europa.eu/pdfs/human/opinion/Erbitux_28040208en.pdf

Received 7/7/2008; revised 12/1/2008; accepted 12/5/2008; published OnlineFirst

Cancer Research, Italian Ministry of Health, Regione Piemonte, Italian Ministry of

University and Research, Association for International Cancer Research, EU FP6

MCSCs contract 037297, EU FP-7 Marie Curie Cancer Gene contract 210871, CRT Progetto Alfieri, Oncosuisse grant OCS-01921-08-2006, and Fondazione Ticinese per la

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance

We thank Giovanna Marrapese, Ines Chiara Andreotti, and Francesco Rastelli

for their precious help with data collection, Roberta Schiavo for supervision of tumor samples procurement, and Simona Lamba for mutational analysis of the

Ricerca sul Cancro (Tessin Foundation for Cancer Research).

with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Grant support: Oncologia Ca' Granda Onlus Fondazione, Italian Association for

population of mCRC, the objective response rate is limited to 17% (versus 0% in *KRAS* mutated) for panitumumab monotherapy (8) and to 59% to 61% (versus 43–33%) for cetuximab plus either irinotecan- or oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy, respectively (9, 10). Once validated in prospective trials, the finding that deregulation of the PI3K pathway identifies mCRC patients with clinical resistance to panitumumab or cetuximab could find immediate clinical applications.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

References

- 1. Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, et al. Cancer statistics, 2006. CA Cancer J Clin 2006;56:106–30.
- 2. Meyerhardt JA, Mayer RJ. Systemic therapy for colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 2005;352:476–87.
- **3.** Van Cutsem E, Peeters M, Siena S, et al. Open-label phase III trial of panitumumab plus best supportive care compared with best supportive care alone in patients with chemotherapy-refractory metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:1658–64.
- **4.** Jonker DJ, O'Callaghan CJ, Karapetis CS, et al. Cetuximab for the treatment of colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 2007;357:2040–8.
- Cunningham D, Humblet Y, Siena S, et al. Cetuximab monotherapy and cetuximab plus irinotecan in irinotecan-refractory metastatic colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 2004;351:337–45.
- **6.** Lievre A, Bachet JB, Le Corre D, et al. KRAS mutation status is predictive of response to cetuximab therapy in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res 2006; 66:3992–5.
- 7. Benvenuti S, Sartore-Bianchi A, Di Nicolantonio F, et al. Oncogenic activation of the RAS/RAF signaling pathway impairs the response of metastatic colorectal cancers to anti-epidermal growth factor receptor antibody therapies. Cancer Res 2007;67: 2643-8.

 Amado RG, Wolf M, Peeters M, et al. Wild-type KRAS is required for panitumumab efficacy in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2008;26: 1626–34.

Acknowledgments

02/17/2009

PIK3CA gene.

- **9.** Van Cutsem E, Lang I, D'haens G, et al. KRAS status and efficacy in the first-line treatment of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) treated with FOLFIRI with or without cetuximab: the CRYSTAL experience. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:4028.
- **10.** Bokemeyer C, Bondarenko I, Hartmann JT, et al. KRAS status and efficacy of first-line treatment of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) with FOLFOX with or without cetuximab: the OPUS experience. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:4000.
- **11.** Bachman KE, Argani P, Samuels Y, et al. The PIK3CA gene is mutated with high frequency in human breast cancers. Cancer Biol Ther 2004;3:772–5.
- 12. Samuels Y, Diaz LA, Jr., Schmidt-Kittler O, et al. Mutant PIK3CA promotes cell growth and invasion of human cancer cells. Cancer Cell 2005;7:561–73.
- **13.** Rodriguez-Viciana P, Warne PH, Dhand R, et al. Phosphatidylinositol-3-OH kinase as a direct target of Ras. Nature 1994;370:527-32.
- 14. Nagata Y, Lan KH, Zhou X, et al. PTEN activation contributes to tumor inhibition by trastuzumab, and loss of PTEN predicts trastuzumab resistance in patients. Cancer Cell 2004;6:117–27.
- 15. Saal LH, Johansson P, Holm K, et al. Poor prognosis

in carcinoma is associated with a gene expression signature of aberrant PTEN tumor suppressor pathway activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007;104:7564–9.

- **16.** Frattini M, Saletti P, Romagnani E, et al. PTEN loss of expression predicts cetuximab efficacy in metastatic colorectal cancer patients. Br J Cancer 2007;97:1139–45.
- 17. Therasse P, Arbuck SG, Eisenhauer EA, et al. European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer, National Cancer Institute of the United States, National Cancer Institute of Canada. New guidelines to evaluate the response to treatment in solid tumors. J Natl Cancer Inst 2000;92:205–16.
- **18.** Saal LH, Holm K, Maurer M, et al. PIK3CA mutations correlate with hormone receptors, node metastasis, and ERBB2, and are mutually exclusive with PTEN loss in human breast carcinoma. Cancer Res 2005;65: 2554–9.
- **19.** Moroni M, Veronese S, Benvenuti S, et al. Gene copy number for epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and clinical response to antiEGFR treatment in colorectal cancer: a cohort study. Lancet Oncol 2005;6: 279–86.
- **20.** Jhawer M, Goel S, Wilson AJ, et al. PIK3CA mutation/PTEN expression status predicts response of colon cancer cells to the epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitor cetuximab. Cancer Res 2008;68: 1953–61.